

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/702,041	MERCURIO ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel S. Metzmaier	1796	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) ____.

(2) Richard H. Tushin.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2008

Time: ~9:15 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

none.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner notified applicants' representative the the status of the parent application was to be updated in the specification. Applicants' representative authorized said update.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)